

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,988	08/04/2003	Seong Ho Kang	YHK-0115	2974	
34610	7590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			BODDIE, WILLIAM		
P.O. BOX 2 CHANTILI	21200 .Y, VA 20153		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHANTEL	71, 111 20103		2629		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/632,988	KANG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
William Boddie	2629

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NC	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered avit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
13. Other:		ALAD A ALLE
		AMR A. AWAD PRIMARY EXAMI NE R
		MI HAM MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. As stated in the previous office action, the combination of Awamoto et al. (US 6,720,940) and the Applicant's admitted prior art (APA) is seen as proper and sufficient in teaching all the limitations of at least independent claims 1 and 7 and additionally claim 11 when combined with Nagai (US 6,011,355). To further explain the combination of Awamoto and APA, the only claimed limitation lacking from Awamoto is specifically altering the setup interval based on the temperature of a display panel. Awamoto, otherwise, teaches applying different waveforms for different temperatures. Clearly the waveforms in B in fig. 6 are different from those in C. The APA discloses two different waveforms with different setup intervals. The APA also teaches that at certain temperatures these setup intervals cause misfires. It seems obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art, that when presented with these facts, would have been driven to apply the different setup intervals, of APA, at optimum temperatures for each waveform, as taught by Awamoto.